



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/791,541	03/02/2004	Dennis D. O'Rell	14358 (6365/90576)	3382

44986 7590 07/28/2006

Levenfeld Pearlstein, LLC (ILLINOIS TOOL WORKS)  
2 North LaSalle Street  
Suite 1300  
CHICAGO, IL 60602

EXAMINER
----------

SHEWAREGED, BETELHEM

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1774

DATE MAILED: 07/28/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/791,541

Applicant(s)

O'RELL ET AL.

Examiner

Betelhem Shewareged

Art Unit

1774

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --  
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 May 2006.  
2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.  
3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13-20 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.  
7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  
2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_.  
4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_.  
5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  
6) ☐ Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Applicant's response filed on 05/15/2006 has been fully considered. Claims 1-20 are pending. (NOTE: Claims 13-20 are withdrawn from consideration as nonelected invention).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Young et al. (US 4,861,644) in view of Schulz (US 6,825,279).

3. Young discloses a printed microporous material comprising a microporous material substrate containing an ultra high molecular polyethylene, and a printing ink on the microporous substrate (claim 1). The type of ink is disclosed in col. 12, lines 11-52. Young fails to disclose a layer comprising a film forming polymer between the substrate and the printing ink.

4. Schulz teaches a printable media comprising a substrate and an image receptive layer on the substrate (abstract), wherein the image receptive layer comprises a binder such as acrylic acid and styrene copolymer with acrylic acid (col. 7, line 24-40).

5. Young and Schulz are analogous art because they are from the same field of endeavor that is the printable media art. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the image receptive layer of Schulz with the invention of Young in order to capture most of the ink colorant near a first major surface of the ink receptive layer while allowing most of a fluid vehicle of the ink to pass through the ink receptive layer (see col. 2, line 5).

***Response to Arguments***

6. Applicant's argument is based on that, contrary to the present invention, Schultz does not teach a non-overcoated media; therefore, can not be combine with Young. This argument is not persuasive for the following reason. Young teaches the claimed substrate and the claimed graphic print, however, Young does not teach the claimed first down coat layer between the substrate and the graphic print. In order to teach the missing element (i.e., first down coat layer), there is a need to combine the reference of Young with a second reference that teaches an intermediate layer that is disposed between a substrate and a print or graphic layer. Schulz teaches a printable media comprising a substrate, an image receptive layer on the substrate and a printed image on the image receptive layer. The image receptive layer is equivalent to the claimed first down coat layer, and the image receptive media is an intermediate layer between the printed image and the substrate. Schulz further teaches that the image receptive layer is used to capture most of the ink colorant near a first major surface of the ink receptive layer while allowing most of a fluid vehicle of the ink to pass through the ink receptive layer (see col. 2, line 5). Young teaches the claimed invention except the first down coat layer, and Schulz teaches the missing element, i.e., the image receptive layer which is equivalent to the claimed first down coat layer. Thus, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to combine the image receptive layer of Schulz with the invention of Young in order to capture most of the ink colorant near a first major surface of the ink receptive layer while allowing most of a fluid vehicle of the ink to pass through the ink receptive layer (see col. 2, line 5 of Schulz).

***Conclusion***


7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
8. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Betelhem Shewareged whose telephone number is 571-272-1529. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8:00AM-4:30PM.
10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rena Dye can be reached on 571-272-3186. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1774

11. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

B.S.

July 23, 2006.

  
BETELHEM SHEWAREGED  
PRIMARY EXAMINER